

[Tripathi, 5(8): August 2018] ISSN 2348 - 8034 DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.1406135 Impact Factor- 5.070

GLOBAL JOURNAL OF **E**NGINEERING **S**CIENCE AND **R**ESEARCHES APPLICATION OF JUNGCK CONTRACTION IN FUZZY METRIC SPACE

Piyush Kumar Tripathi

Amity University Uttar Pradesh, ASAS Lucknow

ABSTRACT

On the pattern of the most classical fixed point theorem. viz. the Banach contraction theorem, now the mathematicians are using Jungck contraction theorem for various applications. In this paper I proved some new theorems on fixed point which is further development of results of Tripathi and Mishra [8]

I. INTRODUCTION

The concept of fuzzy set is introduced by Zadeh [10] and then Kramosil and Michalek [7] defined fuzzy metric space by using of continuous t-norm. In 1999 Vasuki [9] proved some fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space fpr R-weakly commuting mappings. Rhoades [5] gave an open problem " whether there exist a contractive definition which is strong to generate a fixed point but which does not force the map to be continuous at the fixed point". Balasubramaniam et al. [1] proved the open problem of Rhoades [5].

In 1986 Jungck [4] introduced the notion of compatible mapping and proved common fixed point for two mappings after this Singh and Jain [6] defined semi compatible mapping in fuzzy metric space and using this concept they proved common fixed point theorem for four self-mapping mapping on fuzzy metric space, further Tripathi et al. [8] improved the result of Singh and Jain

* :
$$[0,1] \times [0,1] \rightarrow [0,1]$$

is said to be continuous t-norm if * satisfies

DEFINITION 1.1. [7] A binary operation the following conditions:

(i) • is commutative and associative. (ii) • is continuous. $a \in [0,1]$ (iii) $a \cdot 1 = a$ for all . $a * b \le c * d$ whenever $a \le c$ and $b \le d$ for all $a, b, c, d \in [0,1]$.

DEFINITION 1.2. [7]. The three tuple (X, M, *) is said to be fuzzy metric space if X is an arbitrary set, * is a $X^2 \times [0, \infty)$

continuous t-norm and *M* is a fuzzy set in satisfying the following conditions for all x, y, z in *X* and s, t > 0;





[Tripathi, 5(8): August 2018] ISSN 2348 - 8034 DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.1406135 Impact Factor- 5.070

(i) M(x, y, 0) = 0,

(ii)
$$M(x, y, t) = 1$$
, if and only if $x = y$,

- (iii) M(x, y, t) = M(y, x, t),
- (iv) $M(x, y, t) * M(y, z, s) \le M(x, z, t+s)$,
- and (v) $M(x, y, \aleph : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0,1]$ is left continuous.

DEFINITION 1.3 [3]. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. A sequence in X is said to be convergent to a point x in X if $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x, t) = 1$

For all t > 0.

 $\{x_n\}$

Further the sequence is said to be Cauchy sequence in X if

 $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x_n, x_{n+p}, t) = 1$

for all t > 0 and p > 0.

The space (X, M, *) is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in it converges to a point of it. In this paper, (X, M, *) is considered to be the fuzzy metric space with the condition,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} M(x,y,t) = 1$$

for all x, y in X. (1.1)

$$\{y_n\}$$

LEMMA 1.2 [2]. Let be a sequence in fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) with the condition (1.1). Suppose there $k \in (0, 1)$

exists a number such that,

 $M(y_{n+2}, y_{n+1}, kt) \ge M(y_{n+1}, y_n, t),$ for all t > 0. $\{y_n\}$

Then is a Cauchy sequence.

DEFINITION 1.4. [2] Let f and g be mappings from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) to itself. The mappings are said to be weak compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, that is, fx = gx implies that fgx = gfx.

DEFINITION 1.5. [2] Let f and g be mappings from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) to itself. Then the mappings are said to be compatible if, $\lim_{n\to\infty} M(fgy_n, gfy_n, t) = 1, \quad \forall t > 0,$





[Tripathi, 5(8): August 2018] ISSN 2348 - 8034 DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.1406135 Impact Factor- 5.070

 $\{y_n\}$

whenever is a sequence in X such that, $\lim_{n\to\infty} fy_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gy_n = x \in X.$

PROPOSITION 1.1 [4]. Self mappings f and g of a metric space (X, M, *) are compatible, then they are weak compatible.

DEFINITION 1.6 [6]. Let f and g be mappings from a fuzzy metric space (X, M, *) to itself. Then the mappings are said to be semi compatible if

$$\begin{split} \lim_{n \to \infty} M(fgy_n, gx, t) = 1, &\forall t > 0, \\ & \text{whenever} & \text{is a sequence in } X & \text{such that,} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} fy_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} gy_n = x \in X. \end{split}$$

Singh and Jain [6] proved that if the mappings f and g are semicompatible, then they are weak compatible without the converse being true.

II. MAIN RESULTS

THEOREM 2.1. Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space and Y is an arbitrary set. Suppose and $f, g, h, : Y \to X$

are mappings such that, (i) $M(fx, gy, kt) \le \max\{M(hx, hy, t), M(fx, hx, t)\} \quad \forall x, y \in Y \text{ and } t > 0,$

(*ii*) $f(Y) \cup g(Y) \subset h(Y)$,

and (*iii*) one of f(Y), g(Y), h(Y) is complete.

Then *f*, g and *h* have coincidence point.

$$p_{0} \in Y \qquad p_{1}, p_{2} \in Y \qquad fp_{0} = hp_{1}, gp_{1} = hp_{2} \qquad f(Y) \cup g(Y) \subset h(Y)$$
PROOF. For there exist such that (because).

$$\{p_{n}\} \qquad fp_{2n} = hp_{2n+1}, gp_{2n+1} = hp_{2n+2}$$
Inductively we can construct a sequence such that . Putting $x = p_{2n}$ and $y = p_{2n+1}$
in (i), we have $M(fp_{2n}, gp_{2n+1}, kt) \leq \max\{M(hp_{2n}, hp_{2n+1}, t), M(fp_{2n}, hp_{2n}, t)\}$
i.e. $M(hp_{2n+1}, gp_{2n+2}, kt) \leq M(hp_{2n+1}, gp_{2n}, t)$.



43 5 (C)Global Journal Of Engineering Science And Researches [Tripathi, 5(8): August 2018] **ISSN 2348 - 8034** DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.1406135 Impact Factor- 5.070 $\{hp_n\} \to p \in h(Y).$ $\{hp_n\}$ So by Lemma 1.1 is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose h(Y) is complete. Then Also $x = u, y = p_{2n+1}$ hu = p. $u \in Y$ such that then there exists Putting in (i), we have, $M(fu, gp_{2n+1}, kt) \le \max\{M(hu, hp_{2n+1}, t), M(fu, hu, t)\}.$ $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, in limiting case as $M(fu, p, kt) \le \max\{M(p, p, t), M(fu, hu, t)\}$ i.e. $M(fu, p, kt) \leq M(fu, p, t)$.
$$\begin{split} f(u) &= p = h(u). & x = p_{2n+1}, \ y = u \\ \text{Hence} & \text{Lastly, putting} \\ M(fp_{2n+1}, gu, kt) &\leq \max \left\{ M(hp_{2n+1}, hu, t), M(fp_{2n+1}, hp_{2n+1}, t) \right\} \end{split}$$
f(u) = p = h(u).in (i), we have i.e. $M(p, gu, kt) \leq M(p, p, t)$. p = gu = f(u) = h(u).Therefore Hence u is coincidence point of f, g and h. $k \in (0,1)$ $f, g, h, : X \to X$ and **THEOREM 2.2.** Let (X, M, *) be a fuzzy metric space. Suppose are mappings such that, (i) $M(fx, gy, kt) \le \max\{M(hx, hy, t), M(fx, hx, t) \forall x, y \in X \text{ and } t > 0,$ (*ii*) $f(X) \cup g(X) \subset h(X)$, (*iii*) one of f(X), g(X), h(X) is complete,

and (iv) f and h are coincidently commuting.

Then f, g and h have a unique common fixed point.

$$p = gu = f(u) = h(u).$$

PROOF. In the theorem 2.1 if we take Y = X then we get Since *f* and *g* are coincidently commuting so, fhu = hfu and fp = hp.

 $\begin{aligned} x &= fu, \ y = p_{2n+1} \\ \text{Putting} & \text{in } (i), \text{ we have,} \\ M(ffu, gp_{2n+1}, kt) &\leq \max \left\{ M(hfu, hp_{2n+1}, t), M(ffu, hfu, t) \right. \end{aligned}$



(C)Global Journal Of Engineering Science And Researches

RESEARCHERID

[Tripathi, 5(8): August 2018] ISSN 2348 - 8034 DOI- 10.5281/zenodo.1406135 Impact Factor- 5.070

 $\begin{array}{cccc} n \to \infty & M(ffu, p, kt) \leq M(fp, p, t). & fp = hp = p. \\ \text{Taking} & \text{, we have,} & \text{Therefore} \\ & x = p_{2n}, \ y = p & n \to \infty \\ \text{Again putting} & \text{in } (i) \text{ and taking limit as} & \text{we get,} \\ gp = fp = hp = p. & \\ & \text{Hence } p \text{ is a common fixed point of } f, \text{g and } h. \\ \text{For uniqueness suppose } p \text{ and } q \text{ are common fixed points of } f, \text{g and } h. \\ & x = p, \ y = q \end{array}$

Then by putting in (i) we get p = q. This proves the uniqueness

REFERENCES

- 1. Balasubramanian P, Muralisankar S and Pant R P. Common fixed point of four mappings in a fuzzy metric space, J. Fuzzy Math. 10(2) (2002), 379 384.
- 2. Cho Y. J. Fixed point in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Fuzzy Math. 4 (1997), 949-962. MR 98h: 54011.
- 3. Grabiec M. Fixed points in fuzzy metric spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems 27 (1988), 385-389.
- 4. Jungck G. Compatible mapping and common fixed points, Publ. Inst. Math. (N. S.) (Beograd) 32 (1982), 149-153. MR 85f:54107.
- 5. Jungck G. and Rhodes B. E. Some fixed point theorems for compatible maps, J. Math. Math. Sci 16 (1993), 417-428.
- 6. *Singh B. and Jain S. Semicompatibility and fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric space using implicit relation, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci 16 (2005)* 2167-2629.
- 7. Kramosil and Michalek. Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces, Kybernetika 11 (1975), 326-334.
- 8. **Tripathi Piyush Kumar and Mishra Suyash Narayan.** Coincidence and Common Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Space International Journal of Emerging Technology and Advanced Engineering, Volume 5, Issue 2, (2015) 305-307.
- 9. Vasuki R. Common fixed points for R-weakly commuting maps in fuzzy metric spaces, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 30 (1999), 419-423. CMP 1 695- 690.
- 10. Zadeh L.A. Fuzzy sets, Inform. And Control 8 (1965), 338-353.

